

FEDERAL ELECTION 2022 HEALTH AND DISABILITY SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS THAT NEED A COMMITMENT TODAY

April 2022



Contents

WHO ARE BETTER ACCESS AUSTRALIA	3
WHAT COMMITMENTS WE ARE ASKING FOR IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION	3
INTRODUCE A NATIONAL AND UPDATED NEWBORN SCREENING PROGRAM	7
MAKING PBS MEDICINES AFFORDABLE FOR FAMILIES	8
SUBSIDISED ACCESS TO MEDICINES AND MEDICAL DEVICES IN 100 DAYS	9
THE LINKS BETWEEN THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND PRIVATE HEALTH SYSTEMS	. 10
REDUCE COSTS TO THE SYSTEM OF ONGOING ACCESS TO SPECIALISTS	. 11
PBS MEDICINES ACCESSED VIA THE OPIOID DEPENDENCY TREATMENT PROGRAM PROTECTED BY THE PBS CO-	
PAYMENT AND SAFETY NET PROVISIONS	. 12
COSTING CONSIDERATIONS	. 13



WHO ARE BETTER ACCESS AUSTRALIA

Better Access Australia was established to advance improvements in health, disability, and social services for all Australians by 2030. We contribute to the public policy debate in Australia through research, publications, public discussion and advocacy.

Better Access Australia do not advocate for specific diseases, medicines, diagnostics or individuals. We advocate for system-level reform that allows all to benefit – consumers, suppliers and government.

Better Access Australia recognise that Australia's health, disability and social services systems (the social sector) work best when all parties, public, private and not-for-profit, engage in good faith with the existing systems and processes, and that each party's contribution is recognised and valued. We partner with consumers, peak bodies, industry, and academia to identify and promote better outcomes in Australia's social sector.

We believe the foundations of our social sector are solid and deliver reasonable quality services to most Australians. However, significant challenges and opportunities remain. Australia's governments, industry and not-for-profit sectors need quality advice and strong advocacy if they are to be responsive and agile in addressing the policy implementation reforms necessary to keep pace or surpass international best practice by 2030.

As we enter the third year of Australia's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the community has increasingly relied upon the social sector and existing and new challenges have been brought to the fore. Systemic issues such as the divide between Commonwealth and state/territory funding and management responsibilities, integration between mainstream health and other care sectors and potential trade-offs between health outcomes and the economy are all critical and current debates.

However, these challenges also present opportunities to test and confirm our deeply held goals as a society, explore innovative solutions, consider best practice and learn the lessons from around the globe about how to build a better social sector.

WHAT COMMITMENTS WE ARE ASKING FOR IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION

Better Access Australia is calling on all parties and independent candidates to *#MINDTHEGAP* in affordability and access to health care and the national disability insurance scheme (NDIS).

For healthcare it's the gap in affordability of time to access, and the gap in the financial affordability that care. Patients can afford neither the time delays nor the increasing out of pocket costs.

Building on the bi-partisan findings of the <u>parliamentary inquiry into access to novel</u> <u>technologies</u> on affordability of healthcare, *Better Access Australia* is seeking election commitments to reforms that reflect areas of high need and where Australia has fallen woefully behind global standards let alone global better practice in its administration of our healthcare system.



For the NDIS it's about addressing the growing complexity, inconsistency and gaps between the processes, services and support provide to the community in accessing the health system, the NDIS and employment opportunities. It's about improving transparency in decision-making in the bureaucracy in an effort to end discrimination and inconsistent access and insufficient empowerment that the social sector is supposed to serve.

The reforms we are asking for are a combination of targeted reforms for some of the most vulnerable in our community as well as system-wide reforms to benefit the entire population.

We are grateful that Labor has already committed to protecting the 300,000 children born each year in Australia via an expanded and national newborn screening program and hope all parties and independent candidates can see the intrinsic benefit of comprehensive early intervention for newborns. This is not a party-political issue, it is one that should be fundamental to good government - that Australia protects its children.

Our six election commitment requests are as follows:

- #MindtheGap in Newborn Screening with a nationally funded newborn screening program for 80 rare diseases
- 2. #MindtheGap in keeping healthcare affordable for patients with a mid-tier PBS co-pay of \$20 and freeze indexation increases for five years
- **3.** #MindtheGap in time to health technology access with a #100day from TGA registration to subsidised system access KPI
- 4. #MindtheGap in the affordability of private healthcare with a review that addresses consumer needs and out of pocket costs
- 5. #MindtheGap in government mandated discrimination by ensuring all PBS medicines are protected by co-pays and safety net
- 6. #MindtheGap in access between health, NDIS and employment support by improving assessment processes and equality of access



#MindtheGap in Newborn Screening

with a nationally funded newborn screening program for 80 rare diseases

- Australia's 1990s screening program is a postcode lottery for what a newborn will be tested for – without diagnosis there is no access to the 76 treatments available today
- × Families forced to prepare complex technical submissions with no funding future
 - Make newborn screening a nationally funded program with expansion from 25 to 80 diseases by June 2023 to catch up to world best practice
 - ✓ Testing for 300,000 babies to be funded by the federal government with administration by state and territory governments (just like immunisation)
 - Bi-annual review of emerging diagnostics and treatments including genetic screening

#MindtheGap in keeping healthcare affordable for patients with a mid-tier PBS co-pay of \$20 and freeze indexation increases for five years

- Medicines aren't affordable for working families families on \$66K or singles on \$35K pay \$42.50 per script, growing by 2.9% annually it's unaffordable healthcare
- × 2020 safety net reductions were chewed up by inflation in 2 years it hasn't worked
- Inflation rates today forecast 5.1% increase in co-pays and safety nets in 2023 that's around \$44.50 per script or \$7.20 for confessionals
- ✓ A \$20 co-payment for people and families earning under Family Tax Benefit A thresholds would make medicines affordable again for the community
- ✓ Automated safety nets would ensure all high medicine users are fairly afforded the protection of the scheme
- ✓ Freezing indexation on co-pays and safety nets keeps healthcare affordable when cost of living increases are chewing up non-discretionary income at \$7.20 and \$44.50 a script

#MindtheGap in time to health care access with a #100day from TGA registration to subsidised system access KPI

- Average waiting time for access to medicines is 820 days. Devices and diagnostics too.
 OECD averages are closer to 100 days. Medicare is failing the community that funds it.
- * Patients suffer via assessment processes focussed on cost to system not time to access
- ✓ All therapeutics subsidy processes and programs must have a target of #100days from safe registration by the TGA to subsidised access implemented by 2025
- ✓ A new independently led National Medicines Policy review must be commissioned with improving time to access its number one framing goal based on this KPI
- ✓ The PBS HTA review should be about universality of patient access first, then economics. All review team members must be independent with public health priorities, with regular public consultation including on TORs (*like post market review*)
- ✓ Access to devices and diagnostics via the MBS, PHI, NDSS, SAS and hospitals must be an equal priority for time to access reform





#MindtheGap in the affordability of private healthcare with review that addresses consumer needs and out of pocket costs

- Private health insurance is a 1970s product for a hospital setting but governments incentivise the system to increasingly deliver services in the community setting
- Increasing chronic disease, PBS demands for specialist prescribing only, and MBS annual referrals lead to massive out of pocket costs for patients.
- * Australians cannot insure against the increasing unaffordability of their communitybased chronic and acute disease healthcare – specialists, GP, diagnostics, medicines
- ✓ We must review the basis of PHI and its integral role in our universal healthcare, to allow longer term partnerships between patients and their insurers to support community-care based coverage and high cost interventions such as genetic therapies
- ✓ Remove annual specialist referrals for long-term chronic diseases to reduce costs to patients and costs to the MBS



#MindtheGap in government mandated discrimination by ensuring all PBS medicines are protected by copays and safety net

- PBS medicines for opioid dependence are the only disease treatment not eligible for PBS co-pay and safety net. Patients pay \$50 a week with no safety net protection
- ✗ Parliament found these charges and the removal of PBS protections is likely to be found unlawful by the courts. But still no action to fix this after 12 months.
- Meanwhile 3 people die everyday of this disease, often because they cannot afford their medicines
- * It's robot-debtfor medicines. It's a breach of antidiscrimination laws and human rights
- ✓ Government must restore PBS co-pay and safety net protections for the 53,000 patients affected and make access via community pharmacy and staged supply equal in treatment to any medicines for diabetes, mental health or heart disease.



#MindtheGap in access between health, NDIS and employment support by improving assessment processes and equality of access

- Gaps between NDIS support and health system access are growing and inconsistencies are increasing
- Processes for access and assessment to the NDIS are lacking in transparency and empowerment and respect for those accessing the scheme
- Duplication of effort required to access employment services, the NDIS and health system is an unfair burden
- Person-centred access, clarity in expectations, recognition of ongoing and permanent disability and contemporary understanding of diseases impacting health to reduce reviews, medical churn for paperwork compliance, and new ways of improving employment opportunities for employees and small businesses

INTRODUCE A NATIONAL AND UPDATED NEWBORN SCREENING PROGRAM

Better Access Australia is asking for all-party and independent candidate commitment this election to:

- 1. Establish newborn bloodspot screening as a federal program by end 2022 based on the National Immunisation Program model for the split of funding and service delivery between federal and state governments.
- 2. Immediately expand the program to screen for the 80 diseases screened for in California with first Australian babies comprehensively screened by 1 July 2023.
- 3. Bi-annual review of emerging technology for adding additional diseases to the newborn screening program self-initiated by the department and not dependent on families conducting research and producing complex applications
 - a. Review panel to comprise a broad spectrum of clinicians, technicians, families and carers utilising the MBS Taskforce reviews in 2015-2019 as the model for collation of evidence, consultation and quick implementation.

- Time to diagnosis matters, and without diagnosis there is no treatment.
- Around 300 babies are diagnosed with a rare disease in Australia each year via newborn bloodspot screening, but another 300 babies are having their diagnosis missed despite the test being available today.
- California screens for 80 rare diseases, New York 66, Europe 50. Australia screens for just 25.
- Australia hasn't added a rare diseases screen nationally since the 1980s despite treatments available on the Life Saving Drugs Program, Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme, or Blood Authority.
- Multiple processes over the decades have failed families and their babies, demanding families do all the heavy lifting including find the time, money, specialist clinicians and university experts to produce complex medical and economic applications. These processes have no time limits and families can wait years for a review of their application.
 - The unfairness of this approach is highlighted by the current PBS and MBS processes where pharmaceutical; pathology; and device companies invest around \$500,000 to produce a submission compliant with the complex guidelines for assessment.
- Even where an application makes it through the process such as the test for Spinal Muscular Atrophy there is no commitment to funding leaving it to a state by state decision.
- This is why a baby born in NSW will be diagnosed with SMA and receive treatment before symptoms develop, but a baby in VIC will suffer irreversible damage waiting for symptoms to be diagnosed. Babies in TAS and NT are beholden to the choices of other states for screening.
- But for babies with diseases not currently screened for, the delay in diagnosis is around 90 days after onset of symptoms if they are born in an urban area, but over 180 days if they are born in rural and remote Australia. For many this is too late, and the disease becomes fatal.
- Screening should not be limited to availability of subsidised treatments. Without diagnosis there is also no access to clinical trials and disability support services.
- The federal government is funding \$100 per COVID PCR test. For \$10-\$20 per baby Australia could be giving all 300,000 babies the best start in life with early detection and treatment of life-threatening diseases.



MAKING PBS MEDICINES AFFORDABLE FOR FAMILIES

Better Access Australia is asking for all-party and independent candidate commitment this election to:

- 1. Reduce the PBS general co-payment from \$42.50 to \$20.00 per script for families that are eligible for Family Tax Benefit A.
- 2. Freeze indexation on the PBS co-payments and safety nets for a period of five years.

- Australian patients pay one of the highest co-payments for subsidised medicines in the world, fourth in the OECD after the US, Canada and Switzerland.
- Nearly 1 in 3 of the 300M scripts dispensed on the PBS are fully paid for by consumers.ⁱ
- On 1 January 2022 the Government increased the price a family of two adults and two children with a combined income over \$63,000 p.a. pay for their medicines by \$1.20 to \$42.50 per script."
- For singles it's an annual income of only \$34,112.
- Their PBS safety net was also raised to \$1542.10, a return to pre-2019 election commitment thresholds (\$1550.70).^{III}
- The Government is also increasing the under co-payment costs of thousands of brands of medicines for these singles and families by at least 50 cents per script as of 1 October 2022.^{iv}
- Yearly inflation is at 5.1%.^v The 2022-23 Budget repeat of the 2019 safety net measure will once again be eaten up by the forecast high rates of inflation within two years *AND* still fails to make everyday access to medicines affordable for the majority of Australians who do not qualify for the safety net but use high volumes of medicines.^{vi}
- 40.4% of people aged between 15-44 suffer from chronic conditions, with 11.6% suffering multimorbidity. Within the lowest social economic areas, 49.6% have at least one chronic condition, with 24.2% suffering from multiple morbidities.^{vii}
- This means families are managing multiple chronic diseases and are unable to meet the daily costs of medicines. Safety nets take too long to kick in if at all and so patients are going without.
- This is a cost to patients and a cost to the health system with complications arising from poorly managed disease. Medicine compliance is good for patients and good for the health system.
- Introducing a new tier of co-payment aligned to the financial thresholds under Family Tax Benefit A would make medicines affordable for those struggling to meet the increasing out of pocket costs for health care in Australia, whilst also maintaining the higher co-payment for those most able to pay.
- The dramatic increase in both the concessional and general co-payments (and general safety net) reflect the impact of the significantly higher than forecast inflation (currently 5.1%) when the Government's *2019 Cheaper Medicines* election commitment was introduced in 2020.
- A freeze on indexation for five (5) years would afford all families and individuals some protection from the inflationary period Australia is entering, whilst wage growth is stagnating.
- Time-limiting the freeze allows for Government to re-evaluate the measure once the future of the Australian economy is clearer.



SUBSIDISED ACCESS TO MEDICINES AND MEDICAL DEVICES IN 100 DAYS

Better Access Australia is asking for all-party and independent candidate commitment this election to:

- 1. Establish a target of 100 days from TGA approval of a therapeutic or device to federal government subsidy on the relevant program or schedule by 1 July 2025.
- 2. Use the \$20M per week in new funding over five years already set aside by the Government to implement this on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) and Life Saving Drugs Program (LSDP) by 1 January 2024.
- 3. Ensure the National Medicines Policy and Health Technology Assessment Reviews are comprised of a fully independent membership with a public health focus and have the target of time to access for patients as their starting goal.
- 4. Ensure the consultation process for implementing the new listing processes of all affected programs, including the PBS, LSDP, Medical Benefits Scheme (MBS), National Diabetes Services Scheme (NDSS) and Blood Authority include consumers and clinicians and is not just limited to industry representatives.

- The health subsidy system is every consumer's chance for good health, and Australia's chance for a more productive economy.
- Most new medicines and technologies are financially outside the reach of patients who rely on government subsidies to determine their quality of life or duration of life.
- Australian patients are waiting an average of 820 days for a medicine to be subsidised after it has been found to be safe and efficacious by the TGA.^{viii}
- Likewise, approval of diagnostics on the MBS are slow and new products on the NDSS have no consistent avenue for consideration. Patients simply miss out on technologies such as cancer screening, and contemporary continuous glucose monitoring which are standard in other countries.
 - With the lifespan of new devices significantly shorter than medicines and diagnostic, this delay in access is potentially even more critical.
- France, Germany, Japan and others prioritise access so it can be as quick as 10-60 days after safety registration, based on ongoing evaluation and pricing changes.
- It is notable that in the COVID-19 health crisis the Australian government did not put any of the vaccines, tests, or tele-health innovations it subsidised through its HTA committees. Government knew the community and economy could not wait 820 days for access via these committees.
- Subsequent recommendations of medicines for COVID on the PBS have been through expedited HTA processes showing that priority listing and alternative evaluation and post-listing evaluation processes can make the #100 days to access a reality and at a price acceptable to the system.
- Australia does not have Key Performance Indicators for medicine and device access programs, in contrast to the KPIs and monitoring it has for public and private hospital access.
- A National Therapeutics Policy and HTA Review that are based on a subsidised access target of 100 days after TGA approval will immediately improve treatment access and outcomes for patients, and
 - drive innovation in processes and ongoing evaluation of listings encouraging parallel processing and greater use of clinical trials in Australia, and
 - foster novel contracting and better post market surveillance consistent with the work of the TGA whilst supporting pay for performance in health technology



THE LINKS BETWEEN THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND PRIVATE HEALTH SYSTEMS

Better Access Australia is asking for all-party and independent candidate commitment this election to:

1. Conduct a consumer-needs analysis of private health insurance that sets aside current regulatory limitations as the basis for the consultation to facilitate codesign of a better integrated public and private health system for consumers and the affordability of healthcare for the community, insurers and governments.

- COVID-19 has shown that Australia's health system heavily relies on the use of the private health system as well as the public health system to ensure timely and affordable access to treatment when patients need it.
- Over 13.6M Australians have private health insurance (PHI).
- Australia's PHI system is based on an increasingly outdated model of access that assumes most of the private healthcare occurs in the hospital setting.
- Over the past two decades governments at all levels have sought to dramatically change healthcare practice by incentivising moving treatment of chronic and acute conditions into the primary care setting where it is more affordable for the system.
- However, with PHI not extending to primary care out of pocket costs outside of the hospital setting, the gap between what the self-insured are covered for, versus the out of pocket health costs they are continually having to meet is increasing year upon year.
- PBS medicines increasingly demand attendance at specialists and not GPs for initiating and continuing prescriptions further adding to consumer out of pocket costs.
- With the abolition of the Net Medical Expenses Tax Offset, many families have been left increasingly out of pocket as costs in the primary healthcare setting grow. Many simply do not pursue treatment or join the long waiting list for outpatient services in the public health system.
- Meanwhile Government and the insurance sector are seeking to reduce consumer choice of technologies available through their private health insurance without proper consultation with consumers. Consumers pay for their private health, not the government.
- The focus on the annual PHI percentage increase without a discussion on what consumers genuinely want from these products must end.
- With genetic therapies emerging, the PHI system 'car insurance model' is not good for patients or the industry.
- How can insurers invest in upfront high-cost treatments without longer term commitments from patients? Why can't products have longer term commitments from both parties?
- Why can't families who spend the significant portion of their health costs in the waiting rooms of GPs, private specialists and paying for additional diagnostics and medicines have an insurance product that genuinely covers their needs?
- Why is pet insurance more flexible in what it covers than human health insurance?
- Health insurance is not car insurance it is an intrinsic and essential part of our health system and needs to be recognised as such.
- The time for a genuine discussion on the need change what PHI covers to support the delivery of Australia's 'universal health system' is now.



REDUCE COSTS TO THE SYSTEM OF ONGOING ACCESS TO SPECIALISTS

Better Access Australia is asking for all-party and independent candidate commitment this election to:

- 1. Set the expiration date of specialist referrals for chronic conditions at 10 years.
- 2. Remove access to the initiating consultation for the same patient with the same specialist within that 10-year period.
- 3. Where ongoing access to a specialist is due to the prescribing rules set by the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) ensure these criteria are reviewed every two years for clinical relevance.

- Attendance at a specialist is costly for the system and costly for the patient.
- Out of pocket costs for private specialist attendance can be considerable.
- Alternatively, accessing a specialist in the public health system usually has a significant waiting time leading to deteriorating health outcomes for patients.
- The MBS does not require a referral to a specialist to be limited to 12 months where treatment needs to be ongoing, e.g. chronic diseases, or where PBS medicines can only be provided through a script written by a specialist. ^{ix}
- Despite this the default position of most specialists and/or GPs is to limit a referral to 12 months.
- This reduces access to GPs for other patients and allows for the billing of an 'initiating consultation' every 12 months if it can be demonstrated a 'new history' was taken after a gap of around 9 months attendance.
- These all result in higher costs for patients and higher costs for government particularly with an increasing burden of chronic disease.
 - 40.4% of people aged between 15-44 suffer from chronic conditions, with 11.6% suffering multimorbidity.
 - 60% of people aged between 45-64 suffer from chronic conditions, with 30.1% suffering multimorbidity.
 - $\circ~$ 79.9% of people aged over 65% suffer from chronic conditions, with 50.5% suffering multimorbidity. $^{\rm x}$
- In combination with the increasing need for PBS medicines to be prescribed by a specialist even in chronic disease, this can unnecessarily add to costs for the system and costs for patients.
 - Specialist prescribing should be clinically based, not used as a utilisation control for the medicine.
- Reviewing PBS restrictions for chronic disease listings every two years could reduce the burden on patients and the health system.
- Introducing a default 10-year expiry for specialist referrals for:
 - o Ongoing established chronic disease management
 - Ongoing access to a PBS subsidised medicine
- Limits for acute treatments, new referrals or changes in a patient's condition would allow for overriding of this default position.



PBS MEDICINES ACCESSED VIA THE OPIOID DEPENDENCY TREATMENT PROGRAM PROTECTED BY THE PBS CO-PAYMENT AND SAFETY NET PROVISIONS

Better Access Australia is asking for all-party and independent candidate commitment this election to:

- 1. Stop demanding pharmacists charge uncapped private fees to dispense ODTP medicines on the PBS and instead provide ODTP patient's access to the PBS co-payments and safety net system all other patients and medicines are protected by.
- 2. Make these medicines dual listed under s85/s100 so that pharmacies and wholesalers are appropriately remunerated for dispensing these medicines in the community.
- 3. Consistent with the Government's longstanding ongoing funding for Staged Supply and Dose Administration aids for drugs of dependence and high adverse event risk, amend the rules of these programs to include the supply of ODTP medicines.

- Over 70% of the 53,000 patients accessing ODT medicines do so via community pharmacy.
- Unlike any other medicines on the PBS, patients accessing an opioid-dependence treatment via the PBS are charged uncapped out of pocket fees to access their medicine and the Government does not allow these charges to count towards their PBS safety net.
- These uncapped private fees are charged because the government does not pay the dispensing fees they pay for any other medicine dispensed in a community pharmacy.
- ODT patients are also denied access to government funded Staged Supply and Dose Administration Programs specifically introduced to reduce risk of addiction or adverse events. Therefore, pharmacists directly charge the patients for the staged supply of their medicines.
- Ironically, patients access PBS co-payments, safety nets and staged supply programs if they continue to access drugs of addiction on the PBS, but not to treat the addiction itself.
- Where a patient is prescribed long-acting weekly and monthly injectables out of pocket fees of between \$20 and \$50 are being charged by GPs or pharmacists. Patients prescribed daily-dose methadone are charged between \$5 and \$10 per day to access their medication.
- This makes these medicines unaffordable to many who qualify for access.
- Multiple coronial inquiries and the PBAC itself have found that these private out of pocket costs are a barrier to access. With only 1 in 3 patients currently accessing treatment and 3 patients dying of this disease everyday this is not acceptable and inconsistent with the social compact of the PBS.
- Opioid addiction is recognised as a disability under the federal Anti-Discrimination Act. Only charging uncapped fees and removing safety-net access to one group of patients is discriminatory and inconsistent with the Government's human rights obligations.
- Further, the lawfulness of the Instrument establishing the ODTP has been questioned by the Australian Parliament's Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation.^{xi}



COSTING CONSIDERATIONS

Newborn Screening

- Expand the current suite of diseases screened for consistently across Australia from 25 to around 50-75 by end of 2022.
- Around 95% families have their newborns currently screened
- There were 294,369 live births in Australia in 2020 806 per day.¹
- Five laboratories deliver the screening services with NSW covering the ACT, SA covering the NT and VIC covering Tas.
- Each laboratory has existing standard or tandem mass spectrometry technology in place for currently screened for diseases. Those jurisdictions piloting screening for other diseases have additional equipment but with reduced automation and higher human intervention.
- Existing infrastructure of both people and technology means some new tests can be conducted using existing infrastructure, some can be made more efficient through modernisation reducing levels of human intervention and some will require the purchase of additional equipment.
- Any screening that identifies potential levels of markers present requiring further investigation are referred to a secondary genetic screening process. This is a separate process and part of broader genetic screening already funded.
- Estimated cost of around \$14M to \$20M over four years for the expansion of the program nationally to 80 tests, including infrastructure and assays.
- A further \$20M over four years to transfer funding for the existing screening tests into the Australian Government.
- State and territory governments will incur cost for continuing laboratory staff and lab time, with possibly some increase in staffing required.
- Initial and bi-annual review processes costed on MBS taskforce model.
- Detailed assumptions underlying this estimate are available on the Better Access Australia website at <u>Newborn Screening Better Access Australia</u>.

Opioid Dependence Treatment Program

- Currently around 53,000 patients, with 12 scripts a year (~70% community pharmacy)
- New costs of payment of the dispensing fees (community pharmacy costs)
 - o \$7.78 dispensing fee
 - \$4.30 to \$10 in Administration Handing and Infrastructure Fee
 - \$4.82 dangerous drug fee
 - o 7.52% wholesale mark up
 - o \$1.30 safety net fee

¹ Australian Bureau of Statistics, <u>'Statistics about births and fertility rates for Australia, states and territories, and</u> <u>sub-state regions – Reference period 2020'</u>, Canberra 8 December 2021



- New revenue from patient contributions of current co-payments of \$6.80 or \$42.50 per script.
- New costs assuming higher uptake of treatment due to improved affordability for currently untreated but some will be switching from other PBS medicines.
- Inclusion of ODT medicines in Staged Supply Programs no additional cost if prioritised under existing programs.

Reducing cost of PBS medicines for families

- 15.5M of the subsidised scripted on the PBS in 2020-21 were dispensed to general patients.
- A portion of these scripts would be reduced by \$22.50 to script based on the new threshold.
- 100M scripts per annum are currently fully funded by general patients as they fall below the \$42.50 co-payment. A portion of these would now attract a partial subsidy from the government.
- Freezing indexation on the concessional and general co-payments and the general safety net would need to be compared against current forward estimates and balanced against the higher than forecast increase for 2022 and impact of 2022-23 Budget measure.

^{viii} Better Access Australia, <u>Submission to Inquiry into processes for Access to Novel Technologies</u>, October 2020, Appendix one Analysis of PBAC submissions and their related outcomes & timelines, MAESTrO Database

ⁱ Australian Government Department of Health, <u>PBS Expenditure Report 2020-21</u>, December 2021, Table 2a

^{II} Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) | Fees & Patient Contributions

iii Ibid

^{iv} Australian Government Department of Health, <u>GBMA Strategic Agreement with Australian Government 2022-27</u>, signed September 2021

^v ABC News, <u>Surging Inflation means interest rates could rise next week as cost of living jumps highest since GST</u>, 28 April 2022, Canberra

^{vi} Australian Government, Department of Health, <u>Improving Access to Medicines – Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme</u> <u>Safety Net Measure</u> Budget Paper 2, April 2022

^{vii} Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, <u>Chronic conditions and multimorbidity - Australian Institute of Health</u> <u>and Welfare</u>, September 2020

^{ix}<u>https://www.aapm.org.au/Portals/1/LiveArticles/530/AskMBS_Advisory_SCP_services_August%202020_FINAL.p</u> <u>df?ver=2020-09-02-130119-507</u>

^x AIHW, <u>Chronic conditions and multimorbidity - Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (aihw.gov.au)</u>, September 2020

^{xi} <u>ODTP Senate Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation</u>